UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT MIDDLE DISTRICT OF FLORIDA TAMPA DIVISION

SUNCOAST WATERKEEPER, OUR CHILDREN'S EARTH FOUNDATION, and ECOLOGICAL RIGHTS FOUNDATION,

Plaintiffs,

V.

CITY OF ST. PETERSBURG,

Defendant.

Civil Case No.: 8:16-cv-03319-JDW-AEP

FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT FOR DECLARATORY AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF AND CIVIL PENALTIES

Suncoast Waterkeeper ("SCWK"), Our Children's Earth Foundation ("OCE") and Ecological Rights Foundation ("EcoRights") (collectively, "Plaintiffs"), by and through their counsel, hereby allege:

I. JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 1. This is a civil suit brought under the citizen suit enforcement provision of the Federal Water Pollution Control Act, 33 U.S.C. §§ 1251, et seq. ("Clean Water Act" or "CWA") (see 33 U.S.C. § 1365). This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over the parties and this action pursuant to section 505(a)(1) of the Clean Water Act, 33 U.S.C. § 1365(a)(1), and 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 2201 (an action for declaratory and injunctive relief arising under the Constitution and laws of the United States).
- 2. On September 28, 2016, Plaintiffs issued a sixty (60) day notice letter ("Notice Letter") to the City of St. Petersburg ("Defendant" or "St. Petersburg"). The Notice Letter informed St. Petersburg of its violations of the Clean Water Act and of Plaintiffs' intention to file suit against St. Petersburg. The Notice Letter was sent to the Administrator of the United States Environmental

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Protection Agency ("EPA"), the Administrator of EPA Region IV, and the Secretary of the Florida Department of Environmental Protection ("DEP") as required by section 505(b)(1)(A) of the Clean Water Act, 33 U.S.C. § 1365(b)(1)(A). The Notice Letter was also sent to the Executive Director of the

3. More than sixty (60) days have passed since the Notice Letter was issued to St. Petersburg and the state and federal agencies.

Southwest Florida Water Management District ("Regional District").

- 4. Plaintiffs are informed and believe, and thereon allege, that neither EPA nor the state of Florida has commenced or is diligently prosecuting an action to redress the violations alleged in the Notice Letter and in this complaint under section 505(b)(1)(B) of the Clean Water Act, 33 U.S.C. § 1365(b)(1)(B). This action is not barred by any prior administrative penalty under section 309(g) of the Clean Water Act, 33 U.S.C. § 1319(g).
- 5. Venue is proper in the Middle District of Florida, Tampa Division, pursuant to section 505(c)(1) of the Clean Water Act, 33 U.S.C. § 1365(c)(1), because the source of the violations is located within this judicial district, specifically Pinellas County.

II. INTRODUCTION

6. Plaintiffs allege the following violations of the Clean Water Act: (1) discharges of pollutants to waters of the United States without National Pollution Discharge Elimination System ("NPDES") Permit authorization in violation of section 301(a) of the Clean Water Act, 33 U.S.C. §1311(a); and (2) violations of the State of Florida Municipal Separate Storm Sewer System Permit, NPDES Permit No. FLS000007-004, ("MS4 Permit"). St. Petersburg's violations of the Clean Water Act and its NPDES permit are ongoing and continuous.

III. PARTIES AND BACKGROUND

A. **Plaintiffs**

7. SCWK is a non-profit public benefit corporation with members throughout the Tampa Bay area, dedicated to protecting and restoring the Florida Suncoast's waterways through enforcement, fieldwork, advocacy, and environmental education for the benefit of the communities that rely upon

these precious coastal resources. Pinellas County waterways and communities are included in SCWK's area of operation. SCWK has been registered as a non-profit corporation in Florida since 2012 and has maintained its good and current standing in Florida since that time. SCWK is a licensed member of Waterkeeper Alliance, Inc., an international non-profit environmental organization, made up of over 300 separate Waterkeeper programs, such as SCWK. SCWK's office is located in Sarasota, Florida.

- 8. OCE is a non-profit public benefit corporation with members throughout the United States, including Florida and specifically the Tampa Bay area, dedicated to protecting the public, especially children, from the health impacts of pollution and other environmental hazards and to improving environmental quality for the public benefit. Another aspect of OCE's mission is to participate in environmental decision making, enforce environmental laws (including via citizen suits), both federal and state, to reduce pollution, and to educate the public concerning those laws and their enforcement.
- 9. EcoRights is a non-profit public benefit corporation with members across the United States, including Florida and specifically the Tampa Bay area. Among other work it does, EcoRights focuses on protecting surface waters from pollution and degradation. EcoRights represents citizens who are striving to protect waterways from pollution and secure the multitude of public and private benefits that follow from clean, vibrant waters: safe drinking water, abundant and diverse wildlife populations, healthy recreational activities, and economic prosperity from commercial, sport, and subsistence fishing; and other commercial activities that depend on clean water. To further its environmental advocacy goals, EcoRights actively seeks federal and state agency implementation of state and federal water quality related laws, and as necessary, directly initiates enforcement actions on behalf of itself and its members.
- 10. SCWK, OCE and EcoRights' members use and enjoy the ocean and bay waters and other waters adjoining and in St. Petersburg for body contact water sports and other forms of recreation, wildlife observation, aesthetic enjoyment, educational study, and spiritual contemplation.
- 11. St. Petersburg's illegal discharges of raw and/or partially treated sewage to ocean and bay waters and other waters adjoining and in St. Petersburg degrade water quality and harm aquatic life in these waters, and thus impairs Plaintiffs' members' use and enjoyment of the ocean and bay waters and other waters adjoining and in St. Petersburg.

12.

4

8

9

10 11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

adversely affected by St. Petersburg's failure to comply with the MS4 Permit and the Clean Water Act. The relief sought herein will redress the harms to Plaintiffs caused by St. Petersburg's activities. Continuing commission of the acts and omissions alleged above will irreparably harm Plaintiffs' members, for which harm they have no plain, speedy, or adequate remedy at law. В. City of St. Petersburg

The interests of Plaintiffs' members have been, are being, and will continue to be

13. St. Petersburg is a municipality incorporated under the laws of the state of Florida and a person within the meaning of Section 403.031(5), Fla. Stat.

1. The Sewage Collection and Treatment System

- 14. St. Petersburg owns and operates wastewater reclamation facilities ("WRFs") and appurtenant sewage wastewater collection and transmission systems ("WCTS") which collectively constitute a publicly owned treatment works ("POTW") as defined in CWA section 212(2) and 40 C.F.R. section 125.58(s).
- 15. The WCTS consists of pipes and other manmade conveyances, and constitutes a point source under the Clean Water Act. See 33 U.S.C. § 1362(14).
- 16. All wastewater collected within St. Petersburg is transported to the POTW. The POTW includes the following WRFs and associated WCTS serving the City of St. Petersburg and other portions of Pinellas County.

Albert Whitted Water Reclamation Facility, 601 8th Ave. S.E.

Northeast Water Reclamation Facility, 1160 62nd Ave. N.E.

Northwest Water Reclamation Facility, 7500 26th Ave. N.

Southwest Water Reclamation Facility, 3800 54th Ave. S.

St. Petersburg Master Reuse System, 1650 Third Ave. N.

17. The WRFs are individually permitted under the State of Florida Domestic Wastewater Facility Permit Program ("State Permits").

Albert Whitted Water Reclamation Facility, Wastewater Permit No. FLA128830; Northwest Water Reclamation Facility, Wastewater Permit No. FLA128821; Northeast Water Reclamation Facility, Wastewater Permit No. FLA 128856; Southwest Water Reclamation Facility, Wastewater Permit No. FLA128848.

- 18. St. Petersburg has reported numerous overflows and discharges of raw and/or partially treated sewage from its WRFs and WCTS since September 28, 2011. These overflows and discharges are referred to as sanitary sewer overflows ("SSOs"). St. Petersburg's SSOs are documented in its internal SSO reports, call out reports, service requests, spreadsheets and tables summarizing SSOs from the WCTS, SSO reports submitted by St. Petersburg to DEP and the Pinellas County Department of Health, and in other correspondence to and from St. Petersburg. The dates and locations of, and all other pertinent details concerning, St. Petersburg's sewage spills are well known to St. Petersburg, as St. Petersburg is required to monitor and report these spills to the DEP. *See* State Permits, General Conditions, § IX.20.a.(4) and (b).
- 19. Each of these spills that has caused pollutants to flow into waters of the United States constitutes a separate violation of CWA section 301(a).
- 20. St. Petersburg is responsible for operating and maintaining the WCTS, tasks which include, but are not limited to: collecting and conveying sewage through the WCTS, conducting routine maintenance, cleaning, and inspection of the WCTS; and responding to citizens' complaints regarding discharges of raw and/or partially treated sewage.
- 21. St. Petersburg is responsible for operating and maintaining the WRFs, tasks which include, but are not limited to, treating and properly disposing of sewage conveyed to the WRFs, conducting routine maintenance, cleaning, and inspection of the WRFs, and responding to citizens' complaints regarding discharges of raw and/or partially treated sewage.
- 22. St. Petersburg is responsible for operating and maintaining the MS4, tasks which include, but are not limited to, preventing the discharge of non-stormwater (*i.e.*, any substances other than storm water including but not limited to sewage) into the MS4.
- 23. As owner and operator of the WRFs and WCTS, St. Petersburg is responsible for violations of Clean Water Act section 301(a) and MS4 Permit alleged herein.

2. The Municipal Separate Storm Sewer System

- 24. DEP has issued MS4 Permit Number FLS000007-004 (the "MS4 Permit") to St. Petersburg.
 - 25. The MS4 Permit authorizes St. Petersburg to discharge stormwater to waters of the State

in accordance with the approved Stormwater Management Program, effluent limitations, monitoring requirements, and other provisions as set forth in the permit.

- 26. St. Petersburg owns and operates the MS4. See MS4 Permit § I.A.
- 27. Under the terms of the MS4 Permit, St. Petersburg is required to effectively prohibit the discharge of non-stormwater into its storm sewer system. *Id.* § I.D.
- 28. Additionally, St. Petersburg is required to implement procedures to prevent, contain, and respond to spills that may discharge into the MS4. *See* MS4 Permit, Illicit Discharges and Improper Disposal, § 7(d).
- 29. St. Petersburg's MS4 serves the areas also served by the WCTS. The MS4 contains numerous storm drain inlets that lead to underground storm drain pipes, which discharge to Tampa Bay, the Gulf of Mexico, and other waters of the United States in or adjoining St. Petersburg.
- 30. As owner and operator of the MS4, St. Petersburg is responsible for violations of the Clean Water Act alleged herein related to discharges of sewage into or from the MS4.
 - C. The Local Waterways that Receive St. Petersburg's Illegal Discharges and the Environmental Impacts from those Discharges
- 31. The WRFs and WCTS are located in watersheds that drain to Tampa Bay, the Gulf of Mexico, and other water bodies, streams, or tributaries in or adjoining St. Petersburg. The storm pipes in the MS4 owned by St. Petersburg also discharge to these waters.
- 32. SSOs from the WRFs and WCTS, as well as SSOs that enter the MS4 from the WRFs and WCTS and/or from privately-owned lateral lines, are discharged to Tampa Bay, the Gulf of Mexico, and other water bodies, streams, or tributaries in or adjoining St. Petersburg.
- 33. Tampa Bay, the Gulf of Mexico, and other water bodies, streams, or tributaries in or adjoining St. Petersburg are waters of the United States, and/or have a significant nexus to waters of the United States and thus are navigable waters as defined by the Clean Water Act and controlling authority.
- 34. Tampa Bay is an ecologically sensitive water body and a defining feature of Southwest Florida. Tampa Bay is an important and heavily used resource, with special aesthetic and recreational significance for people living in the surrounding communities. The Tampa Bay shoreline has numerous highly valued beaches and points of public access that offer unique recreational opportunities for

swimmers, kayakers, windsurfers, sport fishers and other recreational users. Included amongst these resources are specially recognized and protected waterways such as the Terra Ceia Aquatic Preserve, Boca Ciega Bay Aquatic Preserve, and the Pinellas County Aquatic Preserve, all of which are designated Outstanding Florida Waters, pursuant to 62-302.400 F.A.C., as worthy of special water quality protections because of their natural attributes.

- 35. SSOs harm Tampa Bay, the Gulf of Mexico, and other water bodies, streams, or tributaries in or adjoining St. Petersburg and pose a serious risk to fisheries, wildlife habitat, and human health. SSOs contain human waste, viruses, protozoa, mold spores and bacteria. In addition, SSOs contain chemicals that cause cancer or reproductive toxicity. These chemicals come from solvents, detergents, cleansers, inks, pesticides, paints, pharmaceuticals, and other chemicals used by households and businesses and then discarded to sewage collection systems. SSOs from the WRFs and WCTS that discharge to Tampa Bay, the Gulf of Mexico, and other waters in or adjoining St. Petersburg, as well as SSOs that enter the MS4 and subsequently flow directly or with storm water to Tampa Bay, the Gulf of Mexico and other waters in or adjoining St. Petersburg, result in the addition of these pollutants to these waters.
- 36. The intensive use of Tampa Bay and the Gulf of Mexico for commercial and sport fishing, shellfish harvesting, and water-contact recreation increases the likelihood that people will come into direct contact with SSOs and the pollutants they contain. SSOs also affect people who eat fish caught in these waters. Toxic chemicals bio-accumulate in the affected waters' food webs; *i.e.*, contaminants absorbed by plankton accumulate in fish and birds farther up the food chain, and ultimately transfer in higher doses to human consumers.
- 37. Portions of Tampa Bay and many of its estuaries, channels, and tributaries, which receive St. Petersburg's SSOs, are listed on the State of Florida's 2016 Clean Water Act Section 303(d) list of impaired water bodies. A water body that is listed as impaired cannot support its designated beneficial uses. The beneficial uses of the waters that receive St. Petersburg's SSOs include habitat support for commercial fishing and sport fishing, estuarine habitat, wildlife habitat, fish migration, fish spawning, preservation of rare and endangered species, shellfish propagation and harvesting, contact and non-contact water recreation, industrial service and agricultural water supply, and navigation. DEP Water

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

26 27

28

Quality Standards 62-302 (2010). Lower and Lower North Tampa Bay are listed as impaired for bacterial (in shellfish). Clam Bayou is listed as impaired for dissolved oxygen, fecal coliform, mercury, and excess nutrients (Chlorophyll-a). Boca Ciega Bay is listed as impaired for fecal coliform and mercury. Booker Creek is listed as impaired for fecal coliform. Several of the tributaries to these bays are also listed as impaired due to excessive levels of various pollutants. Some or all of these pollutants are in St. Petersburg's SSOs.

38. By discharging SSOs and their associated pollutants directly to Tampa Bay, the Gulf of Mexico, and/or its tributaries in violation of the Clean Water Act, as well as discharging SSOs to the MS4 which subsequently flow untreated to Tampa Bay, the Gulf of Mexico, and/or its tributaries, St. Petersburg contributes to the continuing impairment of these waters. As such, St. Petersburg's violations of the Clean Water Act directly harm Plaintiffs' members' use and enjoyment of the Tampa Bay environment.

IV. STATUTORY AND LEGAL REQUIREMENTS

A. The Clean Water Act

- 39. Section 301(a) of the Clean Water Act, 33 U.S.C. §1311(a), prohibits the discharge of any pollutant into waters of the United States unless the discharge complies with various enumerated sections of the Clean Water Act. Among other things, section 301(a) prohibits discharges not authorized by, or in violation of, the terms of a NPDES permit issued pursuant to section 402 of the Clean Water Act, 33 U.S.C. § 1342.
- 40. Section 402(p) of the Clean Water Act, 33 U.S.C. § 1342(p), requires an NPDES Permit for municipal storm water discharges.
- 41 The MS4 Permit is a NPDES Permit issued by DEP pursuant to section 402 of the Clean Water Act, 33 U.S.C. § 1342. See MS4 Permit.
- 42. Any violation of the MS4 Permit is a violation of the Clean Water Act. See 40 C.F.R. § 122.41(a) (2001).
- Section 505(a) of the Clean Water Act provides for citizen enforcement actions against 43. any "person," for violations of (1) any effluent standard or limitation or (2) an order issued by the Administrator or a State with respect to such a standard or limitation. See 33 U.S.C. §§ 1365(a), 1365(f),

4

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1362(5).

- St. Petersburg is a "person" within the meaning of Clean Water Act section 502(5), 33 44. U.S.C. § 1362(5).
- 45. Section 505(a) of the Clean Water Act, 33 U.S.C. § 1365(a), authorizes an action for injunctive relief.
- 46. Each separate violation of the Clean Water Act subjects the violator to a penalty of up to \$37,500 per day per violation for violations occurring from January 12, 2009, to November 2, 2015 and \$51,570 per day per violation for violations occurring after November 2, 2015 and assessed on or after August 1, 2016. 33 U.S.C. § 1319(d); 40 C.F.R. § 19.4 (2016) (Adjustment of Civil Monetary Penalties for Inflation).
- 47 Section 505(d) of the Clean Water Act, 33 U.S.C. § 1365(d), permits prevailing or substantially prevailing parties to recover litigation costs, including attorneys' and experts' fees.
 - В. The Requirements of the MS4 Permit
 - 48. The MS4 Permit was reissued in 2007 and subsequently amended in 2013.
- 49. The MS4 Permit contains prohibitions and limitations on the discharge of pollutants into St. Petersburg's MS4.
- 50. The MS4 Permit requires that St. Petersburg effectively prohibit discharges of non-storm water into its MS4. MS4 Permit, Limitations on Coverage, § I.D.

V. **CLAIMS FOR RELIEF**

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

Discharges of SSOs to Waters of the United States Without NPDES Permit **Coverage in Violation of the Clean Water Act**

51. St. Petersburg has reported numerous overflows and discharges of raw and/or partially treated sewage from its WRFs and WCTS since September 28, 2011. These overflows and discharges are referred to as sanitary sewer overflows ("SSOs"). St. Petersburg's SSOs are documented in its internal SSO reports, call out reports, service requests, spreadsheets and tables summarizing SSOs from the WCTS, SSO reports submitted by St. Petersburg to DEP and the Pinellas County Department of Health, and in other correspondence to and from St. Petersburg.

10 11

12 13

14 15

16

17 18

19

20 21

22

23 24

25

26 27

- 52. St. Petersburg has discharged and continues to discharge SSOs from the WRFs and WCTS to waters of the United States, and/or into its MS4 that then discharges to waters of the United States, without NPDES permit coverage, in violation of section 301(a) of the Clean Water Act, 33 U.S.C. § 1311(a) and the MS4 Permit.
- 53. Of the SSOs from the WRFs and WCTS since September 28, 2011, St. Petersburg has reported that many have discharged to surface waters and/or into the municipal separate storm sewer systems ("MS4") operated by St. Petersburg.
- 54. St. Petersburg has discharged SSOs from its WRFs and WCTS to waters of the United States, and/or into its MS4 that then discharges to waters of the United States, on at least 40 separate occasions since September 28, 2011. These days of discharge are listed in the Table that is set forth at the end of this Complaint.
- 55. SSOs from the WRFs and WCTS, as well as SSOs that enter St. Petersburg's MS4 from the WCTS and/or from privately-owned lateral lines, are discharged to Tampa Bay, the Gulf of Mexico, and other waters of the United States in or adjoining St. Petersburg, and/or to the MS4 that then discharges to waters of the United States.
- 56. St. Petersburg has taken inadequate steps to eliminate its violations of the Clean Water Act. Specifically, St. Petersburg has failed to adequately operate, maintain, repair, replace, and/or update the WRFs and WCTS, thus resulting in SSOs.
- 57. St. Petersburg has reported that many of the SSOs from the WCTS are the result of unaddressed defects in sewer lines such as extensive line cracking, sags in lines, and misaligned joints; broken sewer lines, pump station equipment failures, and undersized sewer lines or pump station pumping and/or storage capacity.
- 58. St. Petersburg has reported that many of the SSOs from the WCTS are dry weather spills caused by fats, oil and grease in sewer lines, and blockages caused by roots and debris.
- 59. Another major source of St. Petersburg's SSOs is wet weather spills caused by the WCTS's inadequate capacity to handle peak wet weather flows. Flows through the WCTS increase considerably during wet weather due to the infiltration and inflow of storm water and groundwater into sewer pipes, thus overwhelming the capacity of the WCTS and WRFs causing SSOs.

- 60. SSOs from the WRFs and WCTS are also caused by the deterioration of sewage infrastructure, under-funding of repairs, and mismanagement.
- 61. St. Petersburg's WRFs and WCTS are deteriorating, and deferral of repairs allows the continued discharge of SSOs to waters of the United States in violation of the Clean Water Act.
- 62. St. Petersburg closed the Albert Whitted WRF despite express analysis by its routine consultant expert advising St. Petersburg that closure of the Albert Whitted WRF would leave the City without adequate capacity to treat sewage and avoid SSOs during some wet weather events. Closure of the Albert Whitted WRF caused several days of large SSOs from the WCTS.
- 63. St. Petersburg's discharges of SSOs to waters of the United States, and/or that enter its MS4 that then discharge to waters of the United States, are ongoing and continuous.
- 64. Each day that a given SSO from the WRFs and WCTS discharges to waters of the United States, and/or that enters St. Petersburg's MS4 that then discharges to waters of the United States, is a separate and distinct violation of section 301(a) of the Clean Water Act, 33 U.S.C. § 1311(a).
- 65. St. Petersburg's violations will continue each occasion it discharges SSOs in violation of the requirements of the Clean Water Act.
- 66. Significantly more SSOs than those reported by St. Petersburg will likely be discovered through this enforcement action. Each such additional SSO that discharges to waters of the United States is a separate Clean Water Act violation.
- 67. By committing the acts and omissions alleged above, St. Petersburg is subject to an assessment of civil penalties pursuant to Clean Water Act sections 309(d) and 505(a), 33 U.S.C. §§ 1319(d), 1365(a), occurring from at least September 28, 2011, to the present.
 - 68. An action for declaratory judgment is authorized by 28 U.S.C. § 2201.
- 69. An action for injunctive relief under the Clean Water Act is authorized by 33 U.S.C. § 1365(a). Continuing commission of the acts and omissions alleged above will irreparably harm Plaintiffs and the public, for which harm they have no other plain, speedy, or adequate remedy at law WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs pray for relief as set forth herein.

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

Discharges into the MS4 in Violation of the MS4 Permit and the Clean Water Act

- 70. DEP has issued MS4 Permit Number FLS000007-004 to St. Petersburg. St. Petersburg owns and operates the MS4. *See* MS4 Permit § I.A.
- 71. St. Petersburg has discharged and continues to discharge SSOs from the WRFs and WCTS to waters of the United States, and/or into its MS4 that then discharges to waters of the United States without NPDES permit coverage, in violation of section 301(a) of the Clean Water Act, 33 U.S.C. § 1311(a) and § I.D. of the MS4 Permit.
- 72. An MS4 is defined as "a conveyance or system of conveyances (including roads with drainage systems, municipal streets, catch basins, curbs, gutters, ditches, man-made channels, or storm drains)" owned or operated by a state, city, or town that is designed or used for collecting or conveying storm water and that discharges to waters of the United States. *See* 40 C.F.R. §§ 122.26(b)(8)(i)-(iv); *see also* 40 C.F.R. § 122.26(b)(18). The MS4 Permit authorizes St. Petersburg to discharge stormwater to waters of the State in accordance with the approved Stormwater Management Program, effluent limitations, monitoring requirements, and other provisions as set forth in the permit.
- 73. Under the terms of the MS4 Permit, St. Petersburg is required to effectively prohibit the discharge of non-stormwater into its storm sewer system. *See* MS4 Permit, § I.D. Raw or partially treated sewage that is discharged into the MS4 is not stormwater.
- 74. Additionally, St. Petersburg is required to implement procedures to prevent, contain, and respond to spills that may discharge into the MS4. *See* MS4 Permit, Illicit Discharges and Improper Disposal, § 7(d).
- 75. St. Petersburg's MS4 serves the areas also served by the WCTS. The MS4 contains numerous storm drain inlets that lead to underground storm drain pipes, which discharge to Tampa Bay, the Gulf of Mexico, and other waters of the United States in or adjoining St. Petersburg. The MS4 owned and operated by St. Petersburg is a point source under the Clean Water Act. *See* 33 U.S.C. § 1362(14).
- 76. SSOs that enter St. Petersburg's MS4 from the WCTS and/or from privately-owned lateral lines are discharged to Tampa Bay, the Gulf of Mexico, and other waters of the United States in

9 10

11 12

14 15

13

16

17

19

18

20 21

22

23 24

25

26

27

28

or adjoining St. Petersburg.

- 77. St. Petersburg has taken inadequate steps to eliminate its violations of the MS4 Permit and/or the Clean Water Act. Specifically, St. Petersburg has failed to adequately operate, maintain, repair, replace, and/or update the WRFs and WCTS, thus resulting in SSOs which enter the MS4 and discharge to waters of the United States.
- 78. St. Petersburg has reported that many of the SSOs from the WCTS which enter the MS4 are the result of unaddressed defects in sewer lines such as extensive line cracking, sags in lines, and misaligned joints; broken sewer lines, pump station equipment failures, and undersized sewer lines or pump station pumping and/or storage capacity.
- 79. St. Petersburg has reported that many of the SSOs from the WCTS which enter the MS4 are dry weather spills caused by fats, oil and grease in sewer lines, and blockages caused by roots and debris.
- 80. Another major source of St. Petersburg's SSOs which enter the MS4 is wet weather spills caused by the WCTS's inadequate capacity to handle peak wet weather flows. Flows through the WCTS increase considerably during wet weather due to the infiltration and inflow of storm water and groundwater into sewer pipes, thus overwhelming the capacity of the WCTS and WRFs causing SSOs.
- 81. SSOs from the WRFs and WCTS which enter the MS4 are also caused by the deterioration of sewage infrastructure, under-funding of repairs, and mismanagement.
- 82. St. Petersburg's WRFs and WCTS are deteriorating, and deferral of repairs allows the continued discharge of SSOs to the MS4 and waters of the United States in violation of the MS4 Permit and the Clean Water Act.
- 83. St. Petersburg closed the Albert Whitted WRF despite express analysis by its routine consultant expert advising St. Petersburg that closure of the Albert Whitted WRF would leave the City without adequate capacity to treat sewage and avoid SSOs during some wet weather events. Closure of the Albert Whitted WRF caused several days of large SSOs from the WCTS, at least some of which flowed through the MS4 into waters of the United States in violation of the MS4 permit and the Clean Water Act.
 - 84. St. Petersburg has discharged SSOs from the WRFs and/or WCTS into its MS4 in

violation of the MS4 Permit's discharge prohibitions on at least 17 separate occasions since September 28, 2011. These days of discharge are listed in Table 1 that is set forth at the end of this Complaint.

- 85. St. Petersburg's SSO discharges from the WRFs and WCTS into its MS4 in violation of the MS4 Permit's discharge prohibitions are ongoing and continuous.
- 86. Each SSO discharge in violation of the MS4 Permit is a separate and distinct violation of the Clean Water Act.
- 87. St. Petersburg's violations will continue each occasion it discharges SSOs into its MS4 in violation of the requirements of the MS4 Permit and the Clean Water Act.
- 88. Significantly more SSOs than reported by St. Petersburg will likely be discovered through this enforcement action. Each such additional SSO that violates the MS4 Permit is a separate violation of the Clean Water Act.
- 89. By committing the acts and omissions alleged above, St. Petersburg is subject to an assessment of civil penalties pursuant to Clean Water Act sections 309(d) and 505(a), 33 U.S.C. §§ 1319(d), 1365(a), occurring from at least September 28, 2011 to the present.
 - 90. An action for declaratory judgment is authorized by 28 U.S.C. § 2201.
- 91. An action for injunctive relief under the Clean Water Act is authorized by 33 U.S.C. § 1365(a). Continuing commission of the acts and omissions alleged above will irreparably harm Plaintiffs and the public, for which harm they have no other plain, speedy, or adequate remedy at law.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs pray for relief as set forth herein.

VI. RELIEF REQUESTED

- 92. Plaintiffs respectfully request that this Court grant the following relief:
- a. declare St. Petersburg to have violated and to be in violation of section 301(a) of the Clean Water Act, 33 U.S.C. § 1311(a), for its discharges of SSOs to waters of the United States without a NPDES permit;
- b. declare St. Petersburg to have violated and to be in violation of the Clean Water Act for discharging pollutants without complying with the substantive and procedural requirements of the MS4 Permit;

- d. enjoin St. Petersburg from discharging SSOs to waters of the United States without a NPDES permit, in violation of section 301(a) of the Clean Water Act, 33 U.S.C. § 1311(a);
- e. enjoin St. Petersburg from violating the substantive and procedural requirements of the Clean Water Act and the MS4 Permit;
- f. assess civil penalties against St. Petersburg of up to \$37,500 per day per violation for violations occurring from January 12, 2009, to November 2, 2015 and \$51,570 per day per violation for violations occurring after November 2, 2015 and assessed on or after August 1, 2016. 33 U.S.C. § 1319(d); 40 C.F.R. § 19.4 (2016) (Adjustment of Civil Monetary Penalties for Inflation).
- g. award Plaintiffs their reasonable costs of suit, including attorney, witness, and consultant fees, as provided for under by sections 309(d) and 505(a) of the Clean Water Act, 33 U.S.C. §§ 1319(d) and 1365(a); and
 - h. any such other relief as the Court deems appropriate.

Dated: December 7, 2016

/s/ Justin Bloom_ Justin Bloom

Trial Counsel Florida Bar #89109

Justin Bloom Attorney at Law, PA

P.O. Box 1028 Sarasota, FL 34230

Telephone: (941) 275-2922 Facsimile: (866) 574-2169 Email: bloomesq1@gmail.com

Attorney for Plaintiffs SUNCOAST WATERKEEPER, OUR CHILDREN'S EARTH FOUNDATION, ECOLOGICAL RIGHTS FOUNDATION

4751, 4/23/2013 Dr. S	12/26/2012 34 Av. N	11/9/2012	9/14/2012 SWWRF	9/4/2012	6/25/2012	6/24/2012 4th St. S	5/9/2012	Undergr front of (4/27/2012 building	3/4/2012	1/3/2012	10/13/2011	9/10/2011	9/1/2011	8/30/2011 SWWRF	8/1/2011	5/24/2011	5/17/2011	2/25/2011	9/1/2011	9/1/2011	9/1/2011		DATE OF DISCHARGE VIOLATION
4751A Osprey Dr. S	34 Av. N.	11/9/2012 54th ave S.	SWWRF	interstate 275 9/4/2012 N. near exit 22	4936 Sunrise Dr. S	4th St. S	5/9/2012 536 52nd St. S.	Underground in front of GBT building	3800 Beach Dr. SE	na	10/13/2011 3800 54th Av. S. WAS sludge	9/10/2011 4074 24th Av. S wastewater	3800 54th Av. 9/1/2011 S.		8/1/2011 SWWRF	3010 58th ave. 1 S.		St. Petersburg County Club 2/25/2011 Golf Course	3800 54th Av. S.		3800 54th Av. S.		LOCATION OF DISCHARGE
raw sewage	raw sewage	reclaimed water	reclaimed water	biosolids	raw sewage	raw sewage	na	reclaimed water	na	reclaimed water	WAS sludge	wastewater	RAS sludge	digested sludge	raw sewage	na	na	aluminum sulfate liquid 48.5% by wt.	RAS sludge	RAS sludge	RAS sludge		TYPE OF DISCHARGE
force main	manhole overflow	reclaimed water line	air release	trailer from sludge hauler	manhole overflow	manhole overflow	manhole overflow	reclaimed water line	na	reclaimed water line	plubbed sample line	manhole overflow	other - hole in hose	cut hose	other	manhole overflow	manhole overflow	other	other	other	other		SOURCE OF DISCHARGE
contractor	line break	contractor	debris	traffic incident	extreme weather	extreme weather	grease	line break	grease	mechanical failure	debris	other	line break	contractor	debris	grease	grease	contractor	line break	line break	line break		CAUSE OF DISCHARGE
200.00	100.00	420.00	500.00	3 cubic yards	700.00	2,000.00	25.00	750.00	10.00	50.00	10.00	12,000.00	5.00	100.00	300.00	5.00	30.00	2,480.00	5.00	50.00	15.00	27,304,460.00	Estimated Total Volume of Discharge
1.000.00	100.00	0.00	0.00	3 cubic yards	700.00	2,000.00	0.00	750.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	12,000.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	2,480.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	15,308,500.00	Volume of Discharge to Surface Water
0.00 na		0.00 na	0.00 na	0.00 na	0.00	0.00	25.00	0.00 na	0.00 na	0.00 na	0.00 na	0.00	0.00 na	0.00 na	0.00 na	0.00 na	0.00 na	2,480.00	0.00 na	0.00 na	0.00 na		Volume of Discharge to Storm System
na	Lake Magoire	na	na	na	0.00 Tampa Bay	0.00 Tampa Bay	na	na	na	na	na	0.00 Clam Bayou Cr.	na	na	na	na	na	pond along hole 2,480.00 #2 at SP CC	na	na	na		SURFACE WATER (NAME)
ໝ	na	na	na	na	na	na	na	na	na	na	na	na	na	na	na	na	na	pond along hole #2 at SP CC	na	na	na		STORMWATER SYSTEM (NAME)
_	_	0	0	0		_	0	_	0	0	0	_	0	0	0	0	0		0	0	0	19	SURFACE WATER (Y=1/N=0)
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		0	0	0		REACH STORM DRAIN (Y=1/N=0)
inconsistent discharge								-															NOTES

7/20/20 1:	8/3/2015	2/13/201	1/27/2015	1/17/201:	11/6/201	5/17/201	5/17/2014	4/25/201	RAS Pt 3/26/2014 Station	3/16/201	46 1/25/2014 Av	12/14/201	10/25/201	9/29/201.	9/25/201	8/21/201.	7/16/201.	4/28/201.	DATE OF DISCHARGE VIOLATION
5th Ave. S.	1400 38th St. 5 N.	4327 Juanita 2/13/2015 Way. S.	2824 54th Av. 5 S.	1/17/2015 6242 3rd Av. S.	2540 Roy 11/6/2014 Hanna Dr. S.	4600 Fairfield 5/17/2014 Av. S	3311 19th Av. 4 S.	4/25/2014 6145 Sun Blvd.	RAS Pumping 4 Station	3735 45th Way. 3/16/2014 N.	4600 Emerson 4 Av.	2546 Kingston 12/14/2013 St. S.	10/25/2013 275 57th St. N	9/29/2013 distribution wet	9/25/2013 3800 54th Av. S	8/21/2013 757 18th Ave	7/16/2013 SW Plant	4/28/2013 Country Club	LOCATION OF DISCHARGE
raw sewage	raw sewage	raw sewage	raw sewage	raw sewage	raw sewage	raw sewage	raw sewage	raw sewage	RAS sludge	raw sewage	raw sewage	raw sewage	raw sewage	reclaimed water	partially treated	raw sewage	reclaimed water plant effluent	raw sewage	TYPE OF DISCHARGE
manhole overflow	manhole overflow	manhole overflow	manhole overflow	manhole overflow	manhole overflow	manhole overflow	manhole overflow	manhole overflow	RAS pump leak	manhole overflow	manhole overflow	manhole overflow	manhole overflow	reclaimed water line	bypass deep bed filters	manhole overflow	plant effluent	manhole overflow	SOURCE OF DISCHARGE
contractor	extreme weather	contractor	debris	roots	Other	grease	grease	grease	mechanical failure	grease	grease	grease	roots	mechanical failure	extreme weather	grease	mechanical failure	grease	CAUSE OF DISCHARGE
150.00	950.00	50,000.00	100.00	60.00	8,000.00	120.00	100.00	660.00	75.00	50.00	50.00	40.00	100.00	150.00	10,462,000.00	50.00	1,100,000.00	20.00	Estimated Total Volume of Discharge
0.00	470.00	40,000.00	60.00	40.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	400.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	0.00	0.00	Volume of Discharge to Surface Water
			40.00	20.00	0.00 na	120.00	100.00 na		0.00 na	50.00	0.00 na	0.00	0.00 na	0.00	0.00 na	0.00 na	0.00 na	0.00 na	Volume of Discharge to Storm System
150.00 Booker Cr.	Jorgensen Lake run-off pond	Coquino Key?	na	na	na	na	na	Boda Ciega Bay?	na	na	na	na	na	na	na	na	na	na	SURFACE WATER (NAME)
na	na	na	na	na	na	na	na	na	na	na	na	na	na	na	na	na	na	na	STORMWATER SYSTEM (NAME)
na					0	0	0		0	0	0	0	0	0	0		0	0	SURFACE WATER (Y=1/N=0)
<u> </u>	0	0		0	0	1		0	0	0 1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	REACH STORM DRAIN (Y=1/N=0)
surface water body name provided, but no gallons reported flowing into it, only to stormwater	5		_ -		<u> </u>	_ -		5	5)	<u>)</u>	<u> </u>	<u>)</u>)	5			NOTES

9/19/2016	9/9/2016	9/5/2016	8/31/2016	8/29/2016	6/8/2016	6/7/2016	Albert V Reclam 8/8/2015 Facility	8/2/2016	8/3/2015	2350 L 1/29/2015 Way S	50th Str Street / 8/5/2015 Avenue	SW Wa	DATE OF DISCHARGE VIOLATION
9/19/2016 S.	507 12th Ave.	9/5/2016 601 8th Ave SE	26th Ave. S, 8/31/2016 35th St. S	2125 Anastasia 8/29/2016 Way South	38th St. South, 6/8/2016 26th Ave South	Beach Drive @ Coffee Pot Blvd + 1st St. @ 6/7/2016 30th Ave	Albert Whitted Reclamation Facility	8/2/2016 10th St. N.	1400 38th St.	2350 Lamparilla Way S.	50th Street Street / 31st Avenue	SW Water Reclamation	LOCATION OF DISCHARGE
treated effluent	raw sewage	partially treated effluent	raw sewage	raw sewage	raw sewage		treated water	raw sewage	raw sewage	raw sewage	raw sewage	raw sewage	TYPE OF DISCHARGE
operator failed to return valve	manhole overflow	hydraulic overload	manhole overflow	force main	manhole overflow	manhole overflow	storage tank	manhole overflow	manhole overflow	manhole overflow	manhole overflow	other	SOURCE OF DISCHARGE
operator error	"work at Albert Whitted Pump Station"	rain	rain	hole in force main	rain	extreme weather	extreme weather	extreme weather	extreme weather	grease	extreme weather	extreme weather	CAUSE OF DISCHARGE
69,000.00	2,400.00	between 78 and 93 million gallons	unknown	over 1,000.00	57,750.00	60,000.00	15,000,000.00	25,000.00	950.00	80.00	186,000.00	450,000.00	Estimated Total Volume of Discharge
	2,400.00	between 78 and between 78 and 93 million 93 million gallons gallons	unknown			60,000.00		0.00	0.00	50.00	186,000.00	15,000,000.00 na	Volume of Discharge to Surface Water
			unknown	over 1,000.00	57,750.00			25,000.00 na	950.00 na			na a	Volume of Discharge to Storm System
	Booker Creek	Tampa Bay				Coffee Pot Bayou		na	na	30.00 not provided	0.00 Boca Ciega Bay na	Clam Bayou	SURFACE WATER (NAME)
				"pond on golf course"	Clam Bayou			not provided	not provided	not provided	na	na	STORMWATER SYSTEM (NAME)
				unclear									SURFACE WATER (Y=1/N=0)
	0				0			0	0		0		REACH STORM DRAIN (Y=1/N=0)
contained onsite						type of discharge not reported	not clear where discharge flowed.		-	-		To avoid sewer water backing up into homes and spilling from manholes, the city elected to pump down the collection system into Clam Bayou until the flows were manageable at the plant.	NOTES

First Amended Complaint

8/2/2015	10/15/2014	3/18/2014	2/5/2014	12/18/2013	6/4/2013	1032 5/13/2013 Blvd	8/31/2015	6/7/2016	6/7/2016	22nd Av 6/7/2016 Way N	6/7/2016	1/13/2013	11/3/2012	9/4/2012	3/27/2012	12/7/2011	8/14/2013	6/12/2013	7/12/2011		DATE OF DISCHARGE VIOLATION
8/2/2015 120 108th Ave	2901 55th St. 10/15/2014 N.			between clarifiers 2 and 4	6/4/2013 Northwest WRF	10324 Paradise Blvd.	8/31/2015 Alhambra St		6/7/2016 691 56th St. N	22nd Av @ 60th Way N	Sunset Blvd. & 6/7/2016 Central Ave	1/13/2013 N.	177	1st S. & Sunset Dr. S	108 108th Av.	7202 Dartmouth 12/7/2011 Ave N	East side of Chlorine 8/14/2013 Contact Basin	6/12/2013 Northwest WRF	7/12/2011 Ave N		LOCATION OF DISCHARGE
raw sewer	raw sewer	treated effluent	reclaimed water	reclaimed water	WAS sludge	raw sewer	raw sewer	partially treated	raw sewer	raw sewer	raw sewer	raw sewer	digested sludge	raw sewer	raw sewer	raw sewer	reclaimed water	treated effluent	raw sewer		TYPE OF DISCHARGE
mecha	manhole	other	reclaimed water line	reclaimed water line	sample port	lift station	manhole	lift station	manhole	manhole	manhole	manhole	#2 digester		discharge hose	manhole	reclaimed water line	chlorine contact basin	manhole		SOURCE OF DISCHARGE
mechanical failure	line break	mechanical failure	line break	line break	line break	mechanical failure	line break	mechanical failure	extreme weather	extreme weather	extreme weather	roots	mechanical failure	other	line break	grease	line break	mechanical failure/contracto r	grease		CAUSE OF DISCHARGE
2,000	400	50	1,200	450	200	400,000	700	500	7,500	7,500	900	40	300	1,500	80,000	75	500	205,000	100	708,925	Estimated Total Volume of Discharge
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	50	7,500	0	900	40	0	1,500	0	0	200	4,000	0	14,240	Volume of Discharge to Surface Water
0	0	0	1	0	0	400,000 na	0	0	7,500	7,500	0	0	0	0	80,000 na	0	200	0	0		Estimated Volume of Discharge to Storm System
na	na	na	na	na	na	na	na	Jungle Lake	from storm sewer to 7,500 rentention pond	drainage ditch in the middle of location	intracoastal waterway at Central Av Bridge	drainage ditch behind address	na	not provided	na	na	200 not provided	not provided	na		SURFACE WATER (NAME)
na	na	na	na	na	na	not provided	na	not provided	not provided	na	na	na	na	na	not provided	na	not provided	na	na		STORMWATER SYSTEM (NAME)
																					SURFACE WATER (Y=1/N=0)
0 0	0 0	0 0	0	0	0	0	0	1		0 1	1 0	0	0	0	0	0 0	1	0	0	9	REACH STORM DRAIN (Y=1/N=0)
J	0	0		5	0		3		1		<u> </u>	0	0	0		0		5			NOTES

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27

9/1-9/7/2016	245 76 245 76 435 Pa 430 Pa 5778 5 5778 5 5778 5 441 57 5575 5 601 56 601 56 8400 3 8/31/2016 N; 3600 9/7/2016 Ave. N	8/31/2016	Influen 8/30/2016 Works	6/11/2016	6/10/2016 #1	DATE OF DISCHARGE VIOLATION
storage tanks and chlorine contact chamber at NW WRF	6049 22 Ave N; 245 76th St. N; 435 Park St. N; 430 Park St. N; 5763 1st Ave N; 5778 5th Ave N; 5778 5th Ave N; 5575 5th Ave N; 601 56th St. N; 601 56th St. N; 424 Park St. N; 424 Park St. N; 8400 36th Ave 8/31/2016- N; 3600 66th 9/7/2016 Ave. N	Headworks- 8/31/2016 East Barscreen	Influent Head Works	north digester 6/11/2016 #2	south digester #1	LOCATION OF DISCHARGE
treated effluent	raw sewer	raw sewer	raw sewer	digested sludge digester	digested sludge digester	TYPE OF DISCHARGE
	manholes	East headworks barscreen	other headworks			SOURCE OF DISCHARGE
pump bypass, extreme RWS storage weather, tank, plant "treating more effluent, other water than we chlorine contact could discharge fown the wells" gallons	not specified	power failure	alarm failure	extreme weather	extreme weather	CAUSE OF DISCHARGE
58 million	over 1000 gallons at each location	2,000	500	5	5	Estimated Total Volume of Discharge
not specified	not specified	0	50	0	0	Estimated Volume of Discharge to Surface Water
not specified	not specified	0		0	0	Estimated Volume of Discharge to Storm System
Jungle Lake stormwater pond	not specified not provided		Jungle Lake	0 na	0 na	FLOWED TO SURFACE WATER (NAME)
Walter Fuller Park area	not provided			na	na	FLOWED TO STORMWATER SYSTEM (NAME)
_			_	0	0	REACH SURFACE WATER (Y=1/N=0)
_				0	0	REACH STORM DRAIN (Y=1/N=0)
		flowed to in- plant system				NOTES

Northwest WRF

First Amended Complaint

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	

8/24	8/22	8/10	8/9	3/8	8/9	7/21	6/8	6/7	6/7	3/2	8/2	6/10	5/5	VIOLATION	DATE OF
4/2016	2/2016	0/2016	9/2016	9/2016	8/9/2016	1/2016	3/2016	7/2016	7/2016	2/2016	2/2015	116 6/10/2015 NE	5/2015	Z	Ĥ
8/24/2016 NE WRF	1101 116th St. 8/22/2016 Circle N.	8/10/2016 701 50th Ave N	5100 MLK St. 8/9/2016 N.	8/9/2016 948 51st Ave. N	1021 53rd Ave N	7/21/2016 Way NE	6/8/2016 not provided	52nd Av N @ 6/7/2016 10th St	1st St. N @ 6/7/2016 42nd Av	3/2/2016 346 31st Av. N	53rd Av N & 10th St.	1160 62nd Av. NE	plant irrigation valve stem leak	DISCHARGE	LOCATION OF
WAS sludge	raw sewer	raw sewer	raw sewer	raw sewer	raw sewer	raw sewer	raw sewer	raw sewer	raw sewer	raw sewer	raw sewer	reclaimed water	reclaimed water plant irrigation	DISCHARGE	TYPE OF
other	bypass hose	manhole	manhole	manhole	manhole	manhole	manhole	manhole	manhole	not provided	manhole	reclaimed water line	plant irrigation	DISCHARGE	SOURCE OF
contractor	pipe break	rain	rain	rain	rain	grease	extreme weather	extreme weather	extreme weather	grease	extreme weather	line break	line break	DISCHARGE	CAUSE OF
2,500	900	75	50	50	250	50	45,000	51,300	45,000	500	25,000	49,500	850	of Discharge	Estimated Total Volume
500	0	0			0	0	45,000	0	45,000	500	18,000	0	0	Surface Water	Estimated Volume of Discharge to
	0	0	50		250	0		0	0			0	0	Storm System	Estimated Volume of Discharge to
tidal pond		0				na	0 unnamed canal	canal between 53rd and 54th	canal on easet side of 1st st. N by way of stormwater box culvert	catch basin NW corner of 31st Av & 2nd St.	drainage canal south side of 7,000 54th ave.	0 na	na	(NAME)	FLOWED TO SURFACE WATER
		flowed to 0 drainage ditch	drainage ditch	drainage ditch	drainage ditch	na	na	na	na		na	na	na	(NAME)	FLOWED TO STORMWATER SYSTEM
_					0	0					_	0	0	(Y=1/N=0)	REACH SURFACE WATER
				_		0	0	0	0		_	0	0	(Y=1/N=0)	REACH STORM DRAIN
								much to surface water, how much to storm 0 water.	-					NOTES	

Northeast WRF

1	9	8/31/2016 9/7/2016	DATE OF DISCHARGE VIOLATION
2	9/1/2016 N.	o,	ON GE
3	7500 2 N.	4200 1st St. I 4139 7th St. I 701 50th Ave N; 701 51st A N; 701 52nd Ave. N; 918 52nd Ave. N; 948 52nd Ave. N; 1030 53rd Ave. N; 1200 52nd Ave. N; 1220 52nd Ave. N; 1220 52nd Ave. N; 1250 52nd Ave. N; 1350 52nd	LOCATION C
4	7500 26th Ave N.	4200 1st St. N; 4139 7th St. N; 701 50th Ave. N; 701 51st Ave N; 701 52nd Ave. N; 918 52nd Ave. N; 948 52nd Ave. N; 1020 52nd Ave. N; 1030 53rd Ave. N; 1200 52nd Ave. N; 1220 52nd Ave. N; 966 50th Ave. N; 966 50th Ave. N; 982 50th Ave. N; 3900 25th St. N	LOCATION OF DISCHARGE
5	1 -	00 . (0	TYPE OF DISCHAR
6	treated effluent not provided	raw sewer	TYPE OF DISCHARGE
7	not not	ma	SOI
8	provide	manholes	SOURCE OF
9		≥ 0	
10	extreme weather	extreme	CAUSE OF DISCHARGE
11	9 5	0	
12	58 million gallons	over 1,000.00	Estimated Total Volume of Discharge
13			d ume rge
14	not provided	over 1000.00	Estimated Volume of Discharge to Surface Water
15	ided	00.00	ed of ge to Water
16	not provided	over 1,000.00	Estimated Volume of Discharge to Storm Syster
17	vided	000.00	em to
18	not pi	unspe "wide distrik	FLOWED SURFACE WATER (NAME)
19	provided	unspecified- "widely distributed"	FLOWED TO SURFACE WATER (NAME)
20	not p		FLOWED STORMV SYSTEM (NAME)
21	not provided		FLOWED TO STORMWATER SYSTEM (NAME)
22			
23			REACH SURFACE WATER (Y=1/N=0)
24			(Y P R
25			REACH STORM DRAIN (Y=1/N=0)
26		ے	
27			NOTES
28			

Northeast WRF

First Amended Complaint